methylation at $\mathrm{N}(3)$ is to lengthen the bonds about $\mathrm{N}(3)$ (Table 3) compared to those observed in milrinone $[1.359$ (2) and 1.371 (2) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ and $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$, respectively] (Cody, 1987). There is also a shortening of the 2-methyl bond length in (II), not observed in (I) or milrinone.

In both reported structures the pyridine ring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths are shortened (average $1.33 \AA$ ) compared to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ values in the pyridone ring (average $1.38 \AA$ ). This shortening is also observed in the structures of milrinone and amrinone (Cody, 1987). In (II) the $\mathrm{C}(3)^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}(4)^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}(5)^{\prime}$ angle is significantly larger than in (I) or milrinone free base (Cody, 1987), suggesting that it is a site of protonation of this salt. The pyridinium ring angles of (II) at $\mathrm{C}(1)^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(3)^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)^{\prime}$ are also significantly different than those of (I) (Table 3). These differences are indicative of the positive charge of the pyridinium ring of the salt (II).

There are no hydrogen-bonding functions in (I), and as illustrated in Fig. 2, there is stacking of the pyridone rings ( $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C} 3.4 \AA$ ). There is a network of hydrogen bonds in the hydrobromide hydrate structure (II) (Fig. 3). The water molecules are involved in a series of hydrogen bonds with the bromide ion and the cyano nitrogen (Table 4). The pyridinium nitrogen forms a hydrogen bond with one of the disordered water molecules, and is also involved in a close van der Waals contact ( $3 \cdot 41 \AA$ ) with the keto oxygen of a neighboring molecule (Fig. 3).

That the free base of (I) has a larger torsion angle between rings than the HBr salt (II), in contrast to that observed for milrinone (Cody, 1987) and its HCl salt (Robertson et al., 1986), appears to be an effect of hydrogen bonds involving the pyridone ring $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group in both milrinone structures which are not observed for the methylated analogues reported here.
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#### Abstract

Benzoylbenzylidene)-1'-phenylbenzohydrazide, $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, M_{r}=404 \cdot 47$, orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}, \quad a=5.742(2), \quad b=17.383$ (4),$\quad c=$ 21.487 (4) $\AA, \quad V=2145(5) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $1.252 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=1.5418 \AA, \mu=5.97 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $F(000)=848, T=293 \mathrm{~K}$, final $w R=0.043$ for 1465 reflections with $\left|F_{o}\right|>3 \sigma$. This compound is the product of the thermal rearrangement of $\alpha$-benzoyloxy- $\beta$-phenylazostilbene. The structure is


the one predicted by a cyclic intermediate or transition state with the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group rotated away from the migration terminus.

Introduction. $\alpha$-Benzoyloxy- $\beta$-phenylazostilbene (Ia) rearranges on heating, in solution, in the melt or in the solid state, to form benzil benzoylphenylhydrazone (III $a$ ) as the sole product (Russell \& Weisleder, 1967). Kinetic studies in decalin solution showed that
the reaction is first order and remarkably insensitive to substituents in the migrating acyl group (Russell, Strothkamp \& Kasprisin, 1969). It was proposed that (I) is a trans stilbene and that the ratedetermining step of the reaction is an isomerization around $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ - to the cis form, bringing the $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and the $-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-$ groups closer together, followed by a rapid intramolecular shift to form (II). The rearrangement might occur during the trans to cis conversion, before the cis isomer is actually formed. Pendergrass, Curtin \& Paul (1972) corroborated this hypothesis using X-ray crystallographic analysis for an analogous rearrangement.


The structure of (Ib) was determined by Bray, Minadeo \& Russell (1983) and shown to have the trans configuration around the stilbene double bond and around the azo double bond. Cross-over experiments with deuterium-labelled ( $\mathrm{I} a)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right)$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labelled ( $\left.\mathrm{I} a\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}=\right.$ ) and mass spectral analyses of the isotopic distribution in the rearrangement products showed that the reaction in solution, solid state or melt is intramolecular (Russell, 1987). These results suggest a six-member cyclic transition state for the rearrangement with the ester oxygen out of the plane. The trans configuration at the azo bond is a favorable geometry for this proposed intermediate because it relieves crowding.
If (II) is the intermediate, then the product, (III), will have the cis configuration around the $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond, that is, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ will be cis to $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and trans to $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$. The $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}(=0)$ $\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ group can rotate around the $=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond so that the least crowded conformation or the one giving the best packing in the crystal will result, but the geometry at $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ - will be dictated by the cyclic nature of the intermediate. This supposition is supported by the structure of (III $a$ ), which has been determined crystallographically and is presented in this paper.

Table 1. Fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors for non- H atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $B_{\text {eq }}=(4 / 3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i j} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.3363 (4) | 0.0355 (1) | -0.0862 (1) | $3 \cdot 93$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.7377 (6) | -0.1163 (1) | -0.2324 (1) | 7.21 (8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 0.8541 (5) | -0.0465 (1) | -0.0859 (1) | 2.74 (5) |
| N(2) | 0.8878 (5) | -0.0527 (1) | -0.1498 (1) | 3.09 (5) |
| C(1) | 0.9558 (5) | 0.0066 (1) | -0.0541 (1) | 2.45 (5) |
| C(2) | 1.1417 (5) | 0.0619 (2) | -0.0764 (1) | 2.71 (6) |
| C(3) | 0.8974 (5) | 0.0083 (1) | 0.0132 (1) | $2 \cdot 55$ (6) |
| C(4) | 0.6895 (6) | -0.0243 (2) | 0.0345 (1) | 3.28 (6) |
| C(5) | 0.6371 (6) | -0.0237 (2) | 0.0972 (1) | $3 \cdot 68$ (7) |
| C(6) | 0.7892 (7) | 0.0098 (2) | 0.1395 (1) | 3.95 (7) |
| C(7) | 0.9952 (7) | 0.0424 (2) | $0 \cdot 1186$ (1) | $3 \cdot 82$ (7) |
| C(8) | 1.0498 (6) | 0.0419 (2) | 0.0555 (1) | $3 \cdot 31$ (6) |
| C(9) | 1.0902 (6) | 0.1452 (2) | -0.0824 (1) | 2.77 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.2503 (6) | $0 \cdot 1916$ (2) | -0.1125 (2) | 4.02 (7) |
| C(11) | $1 \cdot 2047$ (7) | 0.2691 (2) | -0.1216 (2) | $5 \cdot 26$ (9) |
| C(12) | 1.0017 (8) | $0 \cdot 3000$ (2) | -0.0986 (2) | $5 \cdot 33$ (9) |
| C(13) | 0.8407 (7) | 0.2546 (2) | -0.0678 (2) | $4 \cdot 65$ (8) |
| C(14) | 0.8829 (6) | $0 \cdot 1770$ (2) | -0.0605 (1) | $3 \cdot 43$ (7) |
| C(15) | 0.9360 (6) | 0.0133 (2) | -0.1890 (1) | 3.02 (6) |
| C(16) | 1.1408 (6) | 0.0159 (2) | -0.2229 (1) | $3 \cdot 60$ (7) |
| C(17) | $1 \cdot 1839$ (7) | 0.0797 (2) | -0.2600 (1) | $4 \cdot 13$ (8) |
| C(18) | 1.0249 (8) | $0 \cdot 1390$ (2) | -0.2626 (1) | 4.59 (8) |
| C(19) | 0.8212 (7) | 0.1352 (2) | -0.2291 (1) | $4 \cdot 10$ (8) |
| C(20) | 0.7740 (6) | 0.0716 (2) | -0.1919 (1) | $3 \cdot 38$ (6) |
| C(21) | 0.7831 (7) | -0.1162 (2) | -0.1772 (1) | 4.02 (7) |
| C(22) | 0.7357 (7) | -0.1853 (2) | -0.1374 (1) | $3 \cdot 63$ (7) |
| C(23) | 0.5374 (7) | -0.2271 (2) | -0.1504 (2) | 4.64 (8) |
| C(24) | 0.4893 (8) | -0.2940 (2) | -0.1182 (2) | $5 \cdot 50$ (9) |
| C(25) | 0.6415 (8) | -0.3198 (2) | -0.0737 (2) | $5 \cdot 56$ (9) |
| C(26) | 0.8421 (8) | -0.2794 (2) | -0.0609 (2) | $5 \cdot 26$ (9) |
| C(27) | $0 \cdot 8903$ (7) | -0.2108 (2) | -0.0927 (1) | $4 \cdot 22$ (8) |



Fig. 1. Structure of the molecule with numbering scheme for the non-H atoms.


Fig. 2. Stereoview of the unit cell with thermal ellipsoids at $59 \%$ probability.

Table 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$, bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and selected torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | C(2) |  |  |  | C(10) | C(11) |  | $1 \cdot 385$ (4) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | C(2) |  |  |  | C(11) | C(12) |  | $1 \cdot 375$ (6) |  |
| N(1) | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ |  |  |  | C(12) | C(13) |  | $1 \cdot 384$ (5) |  |
| N(1) | C(1) |  |  |  | C(13) | C(14) |  | 1.381 (4) |  |
| N(2) | C(15) |  |  |  | C(15) | C(16) |  | $1 \cdot 385$ (5) |  |
| N(2) | C(21) |  |  |  | C(15) | C(20) |  | $1 \cdot 376$ (4) |  |
| C(1) | C(2) |  |  |  | C(16) | C(17) |  | $1 \cdot 389$ (4) |  |
| C(1) | C(3) |  |  |  | C(17) | C(18) |  | 1.378 (5) |  |
| C(2) | C(9) |  |  |  | C(18) | C(19) |  | 1.376 (5) |  |
| C(3) | C(4) |  |  |  | C(19) | C(20) |  | $1 \cdot 392$ (4) |  |
| C(3) | C(8) |  | 1.39 |  | C(21) | C(22) |  | 1.498 (4) |  |
| C(4) | C(5) |  | 1.38 |  | C(22) | C(23) |  | 1.379 (5) |  |
| C(5) | C(6) |  |  |  | C(22) | C(27) |  | $1 \cdot 382$ (5) |  |
| C(6) | C(7) |  | 1.38 |  | C(23) | C(24) |  | $1 \cdot 382$ (5) |  |
| C(7) | C(8) |  | 1.39 |  | C(24) | C(25) |  | 1.371 (6) |  |
| C(9) | C(10) |  |  |  | C(25) | C(26) |  | 1.376 (6) |  |
| C(9) | C(14) |  | 1.39 |  | C(26) | C(27) |  | 1.402 (4) |  |
| N(2) | N(1) |  | C(1) | 121.0 (2) | C(11) | C(12) |  | C(13) | 121.0 (3) |
| N(1) | N(2) |  | C(15) | 122.6 (2) | C(12) | C(13) |  | C(14) | 119.6 (3) |
| N(1) | N(2) |  | C(21) | 114.8 (2) | C(9) | C(14) |  | C(13) | 120.0 (3) |
| C(15) | N(2) |  | C(21) | 117.8 (2) | N(2) | C(15) |  | C(16) | 119.5 (3) |
| N(1) | C(1) |  | C(2) | 127.4 (2) | N(2) | C(15) |  | C(20) | 118.7 (3) |
| N(1) | C(1) |  | C(3) | 115.4 (2) | C(16) | C(15) |  | C(20) | 121.8 (3) |
| C(2) | C(1) |  | C(3) | 117.0 (2) | C(15) | C(16) |  | C(17) | 118.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | C(2) |  | C(1) | $117 \cdot 3$ (2) | C(16) | C(17) |  | C(18) | 120.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | C(2) |  | C(9) | 122.2 (3) | C(17) | C(18) |  | C(19) | 120.4 (3) |
| C(1) | C(2) |  | C(9) | 120.4 (3) | C(18) | C(19) |  | C(20) | 120.3 (3) |
| C(1) | C(3) |  | C(4) | $120 \cdot 2$ (2) | C(15) | C(20) |  | C(19) | 118.6 (3) |
| C(1) | C(3) |  | C(8) | $120 \cdot 2$ (3) | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | C(21) |  | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $120 \cdot 5$ (3) |
| C(4) | C(3) |  | C(8) | 119.6 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | C(21) |  | C(22) | 121.1 (3) |
| C(3) | C(4) |  | C(5) | $120 \cdot 2$ (3) | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | C(21) |  | C(22) | 118.3 (2) |
| C(4) | C(5) |  | C(6) | $120 \cdot 2$ (3) | C(21) | C(22) |  | C(23) | $117 \cdot 2$ (3) |
| C(5) | C(6) |  | C(7) | 119.9 (3) | C(21) | C(22) |  | C(27) | 122.5 (3) |
| C(6) | C(7) |  | C(8) | $120 \cdot 3$ (3) | C(23) | C(22) |  | C(27) | $120 \cdot 1$ (3) |
| C(3) | C(8) |  | C(7) | 119.8 (3) | C(22) | C(23) |  | C(24) | 120.5 (3) |
| C(2) | C(9) |  | C(10) | 118.5 (3) | C(23) | C(24) |  | C(25) | 119.8 (4) |
| C(2) | C(9) |  | C(14) | 121.8 (3) | C(24) | C(25) |  | C(26) | 120.4 (3) |
| C(10) | C(9) |  | C(14) | 119.6 (3) | C(25) | C(26) |  | C(27) | $120 \cdot 1$ (3) |
| C(9) | C(10) |  | C(11) | 120.5 (3) | C(22) | C(27) |  | C(26) | 119.0 (3) |
| C(10) | C(11) |  | C(12) | 119.3 (3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| C(1) | N(1) | $N(2)$ | C(15) | -30.2 (4) | C(1) | $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | C(21) | 174.9 (3) |
| N(2) | N(1) | C(1) | C(2) | -8.1 (4) | N(2) | $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | C(1) | C(3) | 177.8 (2) |
| N(1) | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | C(21) | ) $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 157.3 (3) | N(1) | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | C(21) | ) $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | -24.8 (4) |
| C(15) | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | C(21) | ) $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 2$ (5) | C(15) | $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | C(21) | ) $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 179.1 (3) |
| N(1) | C(1) | C(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -71.5 (4) | N(1) | C(1) | C(2) | C(9) | 111.9 (3) |
| C(3) | C(1) | C(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 102.6 (3) | C(3) | C(1) | C(2) | C(9) | -74.1 (3) |

Experimental. Suitable crystals of the title compound were obtained as the reaction product from the melt; no further purification was necessary. Crystal dimensions $0.15 \times 0.20 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$, Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, graphite monochromator. Cell parameters from 25 reflections in the $2 \theta$ range $20-40^{\circ}$. Range of indices $0 \leq h \leq 6,0 \leq k \leq 19,0 \leq l$ $\leq 24\left(\theta<60^{\circ}\right)$. Three standards measured after every 200 reflections showed a variation of $0 \cdot 3 \%$. Lorentzpolarization correction, no absorption correction. 1881 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.013$ ) reflections measured; 1465 observed reflections with $\left|F_{o}\right|>3 \sigma\left(\left|F_{o}\right|\right)$. Direct methods (MULTAN11/82; Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1982) were used for structure determination. Calculated positions for H atoms initially used. Anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement (on $F$ ) for non-H atoms; two cycles of isotropic refinement on H atoms, fixed positions in subsequent cycles, $B_{\text {iso }}$ for $H$ atoms fixed at $5.0 \AA^{2} . \sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$ minimized with $w=4 F_{o}^{2} / S^{2}(I), \quad S(I)=\left[\sigma^{2}(I)+p\left(F_{o}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}, \quad p=$
0.04. $R=0.035, w R=0.043$, max. $\Delta / \sigma=0.07, S=$ 1.37 for 281 variables, maximum and minimum peak heights in final difference map 0.31 and $-0.33 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$. Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV). All programs in Enraf-Nonius SDP (Frenz, 1983). Atomic parameters are given in Table 1,* bond distances, bond angles and relevant torsion angles are in Table 2.

Discussion. The structure of (III $a$ ) is shown in Fig. 1 and is the one predicted by the cyclic intermediate or transition state, (II). $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ is rotated away from the migration terminus possibly to avoid steric hindrance and electronic repulsion between the two carbonyl groups. This is suggested further by the large $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ angle of 127.4 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ and also the $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ angle of $122.6(2)^{\circ}$. The distance of $1.515(4) \AA$ between $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(2)$ shows that $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ are not conjugated with each other. This is confirmed by the torsion angle $\mathrm{N}(1)$ -$\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ of $-71.5(4)^{\circ}$. Although the shorter length of 1.388 (4) $\AA$ between $\mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(21)$ in the amide group indicates some double-bond character, the bonds around $\mathrm{N}(2)$ are not strictly planar. A stereoview (Johnson, 1965) is shown in Fig. 2.

This work was supported in part by grant 6-64206 from the PSC-BHE Research Award Program of the City University of New York.

[^0]
## References

Bray, D. D., Minadeo, J. \& Russell, C. S. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 312-314.
Frenz, B. A. (1983). Enraf-Nonius, Structure Determination Package; SDP Users Guide. Version of 6 January 1983. EnrafNonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declerce, J.-P. \& Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
Pendergrass, D. B., Curtin, D. Y. \& Paul, I. C. (1972). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 8722-8733.
Russell, C. S. (1987). J. Solid State Chem. 69, 43-47.
Russell, C. S., Strothkamp, K. \& Kasprisin, D. (1969). J. Org. Chem. 34, 231-233.
Russell, C. S. \& Weisleder, D. (1967). J. Org. Chem. 32, 2626-2627.


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H -atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53144 (23 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

